

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/044,404	Applicant(s) HUBBELL ET AL.	
	Examiner Steven D. Maki	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) Steven D. Maki.

(2) Nancy Krawczyk.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 February 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
6 and 9
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed changes to claim 6 to make it clear that the formulas are used in the method of forming a pneumatic radial tire. Examiner also proposed correcting minor informalities in claim 6, correcting the dependency of claim 9, changing the title, and deleting the prior art legend in figure 1 since page 4 of specification describes figure 1 as being a cross section profile of a tire of the invention. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes set forth in the examiner's amendment.